# The Ising Model I  $_{[tsc18]}$

The model named after Ernst Ising (pronounced "easing") was introduced in 1925 to explain ferromagnetism based on a (postulated) interaction that was discovered a year later: the exchange interaction.

The Hamiltonian of the Ising model on a  $\mathcal{D}$ -dimensional lattice of any type with sites labeled  $i$  is often rendered in the general form,

$$
\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j - \sum_i H_i \sigma_i.
$$

where  $\langle ij \rangle$  are nearest-neighbor pairs of sites. The degrees of freedom are characterized by binary variables  $\sigma_i = \pm 1$ , each coupled to its nearest neighbors via  $J_{ij}$  and to a local external field  $H_i$ .

#### Ising magnet:

The primary interpretation of the  $\sigma_i$  is that of localized electron spins with a strongly uniaxial exchange coupling.

For the case of a uniform coupling and a uniform external field parallel to the easy axis, the simplified Hamiltonian reads,

$$
\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j - H \sum_i \sigma_i \quad \begin{cases} \quad J > 0 \quad : \text{ ferromagnet} \\ \quad J < 0 \quad : \text{ antiferromagnet} \end{cases}
$$

Canonical partition function:

$$
Z_N = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}\right] = \sum_{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_N} \exp\left(\beta J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j + \beta H \sum_i \sigma_i\right).
$$

Gibbs free energy:  $G(T, H, N) = -k_BT \ln Z_N(T, H)$ . Enthalpy:  $E(T, H, N) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ 1  $\text{Tr}[\mathcal{H}e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}].$ 

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z_N =$  $Z_N$ Entropy:  $S(T, H, N) = \frac{1}{T}$ T  $(E - G)$ .

Magnetization: 
$$
M(T, H, N) = -\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial H}\right)_{TN} = \frac{1}{Z_N} \text{Tr}\left[\sum_i \sigma_i e^{-\beta H}\right] = \sum_i \langle \sigma_i \rangle.
$$

Heat capacity:  $C_H(T, H, N) = k_\text{B} \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2}$  $\frac{\delta}{\partial \beta^2} \ln Z_N$ .

Susceptibility:  $\chi_T(T, H, N) = \beta^{-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial H}$  $\frac{\delta}{\partial H^2} \ln Z_N$ .

#### Ising lattice gas:

Consider a volume  $V$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ -dimensional space and superimpose an imaginary lattice of cell size  $v_c$ . The (fixed) number of cells is  $N = V/v_c$ .

Each cell is large enough to contain one molecule of a one-component fluid. The hard-core repulsion between molecules prohibits multiple cell occupancy. Molecules in nearest-neighbor cells experience an attractive contact force.

Potential energy between particles in cells  $i$  and  $j$ :

$$
V_{ij} = \begin{cases} \n\infty & \text{if } i = j, \\ \n-u & \text{if } i, j \text{ are nearest-neighbor cells,} \\ \n0 & \text{otherwise.} \n\end{cases}
$$

Cell occupancy:  $\tau_i = 0, 1$  (lattice gas variable).

The lattice gas neglects the kinetic energy of particles, which does not imply zero pressure even in the absence of an interaction.

Lattice gas Hamiltonian:  $\mathcal{H} = -u \sum$  $\langle ij \rangle$  $\tau_i \tau_j$ . Canonical partition function:  $Z_{N_{\rm p}} = \sum$  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N$ exp  $\sqrt{ }$  $\bigg(\beta u \sum_{\langle ij \rangle}$  $\tau_i \tau_j$  $\setminus$  $\int \delta_{N_{\rm p},\sum_i \tau_i}$ .

A switch of ensemble removes the constraint  $\sum_i \tau_i = N_p$ .

Grand partition function:

$$
Z = \sum_{N_{\rm p}=0}^{\infty} e^{\beta \mu N_{\rm p}} Z_{N_{\rm p}} = \sum_{\tau_1, ..., \tau_N} \exp \left( \beta u \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i \tau_j + \beta \mu \sum_i \tau_i \right).
$$

The number of cells,  $N = V/v_c$ , is fixed, whereas the number  $N_{\rm p}$  of particles (or occupied cells) is controlled by the chemical potential  $\mu$ .

Grand potential:  $\Omega(T, V, \mu) = -k_{\text{B}}T \ln Z(T, V, \mu)$ .

$$
\text{Pressure: } p = -\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial V}\right)_{T\mu}.
$$

Average number of particles:  $N_{\rm p} = \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu}\right)_{TV}$ .

Entropy: 
$$
S = -\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial T}\right)_{V\mu}
$$
.

#### Mapping between Ising lattice gas and Ising magnet:

Variable transformation:  $\tau_i =$ 1  $\frac{1}{2}(1-\sigma_i) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sigma_i = 1-2\tau_i.$ Coordination number:  $z$  (each cell has  $z$  nearest neighbors).

$$
Z = \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N} \exp \left( \frac{1}{4} \beta u \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[ \sigma_i \sigma_j - (\sigma_i + \sigma_j) + 1 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \beta \mu \sum_i \left[ 1 - \sigma_i \right] \right).
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N} \exp \left( \frac{1}{4} \beta u \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j - \left[ \frac{1}{4} \beta z u + \frac{1}{2} \beta \mu \right] \sum_i \sigma_i \right)
$$
  

$$
\times \exp \left( \left[ \frac{1}{8} \beta u z + \frac{1}{2} \beta \mu \right] N \right),
$$

where we have used  $\sum$  $\langle ij \rangle$  $\sigma_i =$ 1 2  $z\sum$ i  $\sigma_i, \quad \sum$  $\langle ij \rangle$ = 1 2 zN.

Set 
$$
\frac{1}{4}u = J
$$
,  $-\left[\frac{1}{4}zu + \frac{1}{2}\mu\right] = H$   $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{8}uz + \frac{1}{2}\mu = -H - \frac{1}{2}zJ$ .

$$
Z(T, V, \mu) = e^{-\beta N (H + \frac{1}{2}zJ)} \underbrace{\sum_{\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_N} \exp \left(\beta J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i \sigma_j + \beta H \sum_i \sigma_i \right)}_{Z_N(T, H)}.
$$

- Relation between grand partition function  $Z(T, V, \mu)$  of Ising lattice gas and canonical partition function  $Z_N(T, H)$  of Ising ferromagnet.
- The degrees of freedom (subject to interactions) are particles, i.e. occupied cells, in the lattice gas and spins in the magnet.
- The average number  $N_{\rm p}$  of particles is controlled by the chemical potential  $\mu$ , whereas the number N of spins is fixed.
- The extensivity of the system is encoded in the volume  $V = Nv_c$  of the lattice gas and in the number  $N$  of spins in the magnet.
- The primary thermodynamic potentials are the grand potential  $\Omega$  for the lattice gas and the Gibbs free energy G for the magnet.
- Ideal lattice gas [tex172]:  $u = 0 \Rightarrow Z = [1 + e^{\beta \mu}]^{V/v_c}$ .
- Langevin/Brillouin paramagnet [tex85]:  $u = 0$  ⇒  $M = N \tanh H$ .

## Transfer matrix solution of the Ising magnet in  $\mathcal{D} = 1$ :

The Ising magnet in  $\mathcal{D} = 1$  (a linear chain of N sites) is a perfect model for a gentle introduction to the transfer matrix method of exact analysis.

Hamiltonian: 
$$
\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{l+1}^{N} \left[ J\sigma_l \sigma_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2} H(\sigma_l + \sigma_{l+1}) \right].
$$

Periodic boundary conditions:  $\sigma_{N+1} \equiv \sigma_1$ .

Scaled parameters:  $\hat{J} \doteq \beta J$ ,  $\hat{H} \doteq \beta H$ .

Canonical partition function:

$$
Z_N = \sum_{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_N} \exp\left(\sum_{l=1}^N \left[\hat{J}\sigma_l \sigma_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{H}(\sigma_L + \sigma_{l+1})\right]\right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_N} V(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) V(\sigma_2, \sigma_3) \cdots V(\sigma_N, \sigma_1),
$$

where the functions,

$$
V(\sigma_l, \sigma_{l+1}) = \exp\left(\hat{J}\sigma_l \sigma_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{H}(\sigma_l + \sigma_{l+1})\right),\,
$$

are the elements,  $\langle \sigma_l | \mathbf{V} | \sigma_{l+1} \rangle$ , of the transfer matrix,

$$
\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V(+1, +1) & V(+1, -1) \\ V(-1, +1) & V(-1, -1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\hat{J} + \hat{H}} & e^{-\hat{J}} \\ e^{-\hat{J}} & e^{\hat{J} - \hat{H}} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Consider  $N = 2$ : Summing  $V(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)V(\sigma_2, \sigma_1)$  over  $\sigma_2$  yields the diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^2$ . Summing over  $\sigma_1$  yields the trace Tr[ $\mathbf{V}^2$ ].

The N-fold sum in  $Z_N$  is equivalent to an N-fold multiplication of identical matrices  $V$  and the evaluation of the trace of the product matrix:

$$
Z_N = \text{Tr}\big[ \mathbf{V}^N \big].
$$

Diagonalization of symmetric matrix  $V$  by orthogonal matrix  $O$  [tex185]:

$$
\mathbf{O}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{O} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{O} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The matrix  $\mathbf{O}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{O}$  is diagonal if  $\cot(2\phi) = e^{2\hat{J}} \sinh \hat{H}$ .

Eigenvalues: 
$$
\lambda_{\pm} = e^{\hat{J}} \left[ \cosh \hat{H} \pm \sqrt{\sinh^2 \hat{H} + e^{-4\hat{J}}} \right]
$$

.

Partition function dominated by largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_+$  of transfer matrix:

$$
Z_N = \lambda_+^N + \lambda_-^N = \lambda_+^N \left[ 1 + (\lambda_-/\lambda_+)^N \right] \stackrel{N \to \infty}{\leadsto} \lambda_+^N.
$$

Gibbs free energy in the thermodynamic limit:

$$
G(T, H, N) = -J - Nk_{\rm B}T \ln \left( \cosh \hat{H} + \sqrt{\sinh^2 \hat{H} + e^{-4\hat{J}}} \right).
$$

The magnetization  $M(T, H, N)$  and entropy  $S(T, H, N)$  are first derivatives of G. The enthalpy  $E(T, H, N) = G + TS$  and the internal energy  $U(T, H, N) =$  $E + HM$  follow directly [tex185]

The response functions  $C_H(T, H, N)$  (heat capacity) and  $\chi_T(T, H, N)$  (susceptibility) are second derivatives of  $G$  [tex185].

## Expectation values via transfer matrix:

Joint probability distribution:

$$
P(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_N)=\frac{1}{Z_N}e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}}=\frac{1}{Z_N}V(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)V(\sigma_2,\sigma_3)\cdots V(\sigma_N,\sigma_1).
$$

Magnetization per site, previously derived from  $G(T, H, N)$ , here derived as an expectation value:

$$
\bar{M}(T,H) = \langle \sigma_i \rangle = \sum_{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_N} \sigma_i P(\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_N).
$$

The periodic boundary conditions make  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$  site-independent, facilitating the matrix representation,

$$
\langle \sigma_i \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_N} \text{Tr} [\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{V}^N], \quad \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The transformation  $O$  which diagonalized  $V$  makes  $S$  nondiagonal. The gain far outweighs the loss. We infer [tex189]:

$$
\mathbf{O}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{O} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\phi & -\sin 2\phi \\ -\sin 2\phi & -\cos 2\phi \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\langle \sigma_i \rangle = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{Z_N} \text{Tr} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\phi & -\sin 2\phi \\ -\sin 2\phi & -\cos 2\phi \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+^N & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_-^N \end{pmatrix} \right]
$$

$$
= \lim_{N \to \infty} \cos 2\phi \frac{\lambda_+^N - \lambda_-^N}{\lambda_+^N + \lambda_-^N} = \cos 2\phi,
$$

in agreement with the result obtained previously in [tex189].

## Correlation functions via transfer matrix:

The two-spin correlation function is of primary interest and our focus here:

$$
\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle = \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N} \sigma_i \sigma_j P(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N).
$$

The periodic boundary conditions make  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_{i+n} \rangle$  independent of site i, which facilitates the following matrix representation:

$$
\langle \sigma_i \sigma_{i+n} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_N} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{V}^n \cdot \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{N-n} \right].
$$

The combination of diagonalizing  $N$  matrices  $V$  and undiagonalizing two matrices S keeps the matrix product manageable [tex189]:

$$
\langle \sigma_i \sigma_{i+n} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_N} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\phi & -\sin 2\phi \\ -\sin 2\phi & -\cos 2\phi \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+^n & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_-^n \end{pmatrix} \right]
$$

$$
\cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\phi & -\sin 2\phi \\ -\sin 2\phi & -\cos 2\phi \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+^{N-n} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_-^{N-n} \end{pmatrix} \right]
$$

$$
= \cos^2 2\phi \frac{\lambda_+^N - \lambda_-^N}{\lambda_+^N + \lambda_-^N} + \sin^2 2\phi \frac{\lambda_-^n \lambda_+^{N-n} + \lambda_+^n \lambda_-^{N-n}}{\lambda_+^N + \lambda_-^N}
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{N \to \infty} \cos^2 2\phi + \left(\frac{\lambda_-}{\lambda_+}\right)^n \sin^2 2\phi.
$$

The covariance is a function that decays to zero for  $n \to \infty$  even in the presence of a non-vanishing magnetization  $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$  [tex189]:

$$
C(n) \doteq \langle \sigma_i \sigma_{i+n} \rangle - \langle \sigma_i \rangle \langle \sigma_{i+n} \rangle = \left[ 1 + e^{4\hat{J}} \sinh^2 \hat{H} \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda_-}{\lambda_+} \right)^n.
$$

Dominant long-distance asymptotics:  $C(n) \sim e^{-n/\xi}$ .

Correlation length:  $\xi(T, H) = [\ln(\lambda_+/\lambda_-)]^{-1}$ .

## Ising lattice gas in  $\mathcal{D} = 1$ :

We employ the mapping established earlier in this module for the transcription of the transfer matrix solution:

$$
Z(T, V, \mu) = e^{-N\beta(H+J)} Z_N(T, H) = e^{-N\hat{H}} \left[ \cosh(\hat{H}) + \sqrt{\sinh^2(\hat{H}) + e^{-4\hat{J}}} \right]^N,
$$
  

$$
J = \frac{u}{4}, \quad H = -\frac{u+\mu}{2}, \quad V = Nv_c, \quad \hat{H} \doteq \beta H, \quad \hat{J} \doteq \beta J.
$$

Here u is the interaction energy between occupied cells of volume  $v_c$  and  $\mu$ the chemical potential, which controls cell occupancy.

### Ideal gas limit:

We use the limit  $u = 0$  as a benchmark for the study of interaction effects.

$$
Z = e^{-N\beta H} \left[ 2 \cosh(\beta H) \right]^N = \left[ 1 + e^{\beta \mu} \right]^{V/v_c}
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \Omega(T, V, \mu) = -pV = -k_B T \ln Z = -\frac{V}{v_c} k_B T \ln \left( 1 + e^{\beta \mu} \right).
$$

From first derivatives we extract explicit expressions for the equation of state and the entropy [tex172]:



- Average lattice-gas density:  $N_{\rm p}/N$ .
- Classical ideal gas limit:  $N_{p}/N$  → 0 ⇒  $pV$  →  $Nk_{B}T$ .
- The excess pressure of the ILG is due to the fact that each particle occupies a nonzero volume  $v_c$ . The pressure diverges when the lattice is fully occupied.
- The entropy rises from zero as  $N_{\rm p}/N$  increases from zero, reaches a maximum at  $N_{\rm p}/N = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and returns to zero as  $N_{\rm p}/N \rightarrow 1$ .
- The curve has a particle/hole mirror symmetry.
- The empty lattice and the fully occupied lattice have zero entropy.
- The half-full lattice comprises the largest number of microstates, which produces the entropy maximum.

## Ising lattice gas equation of state in  $\mathcal{D} = 1$ :

The thermodynamic equation of state of the Ising lattice gas can be inferred directly from the grand partition function in paramagnetic form [tex194]:

$$
\frac{pV}{Nk_BT} = w + \ln\left(\cosh w + \sqrt{\sinh^2 w + e^{-\beta u}}\right),\,
$$
  

$$
\frac{N_p}{N} = \frac{1}{2}\left[1 + \frac{\sinh w}{\sqrt{\sinh^2 w + e^{-\beta u}}}\right],
$$

where  $w = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}\beta(u+\mu)$  is the parameter. The explicit ILG result is readily recovered in the limit  $u \to 0$  [tex194].



- For attractive coupling  $(u > 0)$ , the ILG curve is the highest. For repulsive coupling  $(u > 0)$ , it is the lowest.
- At fixed volume and temperatures, the curves represent a measure of pressure per cell.
- All curves are monotonic. Each occupied cell adds pressure, irrespective of interaction.
- An attractive particle interaction slows down the rise of pressure when particles are added.
- Near saturation, the pressure rises steeply and diverges irrespective of interaction. This is a steric effect.
- The effect of a repulsive particle interaction is very weak at low density.
- The repulsive interaction quickly gains traction around half filling when nearest-neighbor occupied cells are harder to avoid.
- For strong repulsive interaction, a high plateau develops at more than half filling.



- At fixed volume and temperatures, these curves represent a measure of pressure per particle.
- For attractive coupling  $(u > 0)$ , the ILG curve is again the highest and for repulsive coupling  $(u > 0)$  the lowest.
- Adding particles at low density reduces the pressure per particle when they attract one another sufficiently strongly.
- Adding particles at more than half filling reduces the pressure per particle when they repel one another sufficiently strongly.
- in the low-density limit,  $N_{\rm p}/N \rightarrow 0$ , the result  $pV/N_{\rm p}k_BT = 1$  for the classical ideal gas is recovered irrespective of interaction.

# Ising lattice gas entropy in  $\mathcal{D} = 1$ :

A parametric expression for the entropy,  $\{S(w)/Nk_B, N_p/N\}$ , is readily derived from the grand partition function in a similar fashion [tex195].



- At fixed particle density, both attractive and repulsive interactions of increasing strength reduce the entropy. However, the entropy reduction is associated with different kinds of ordering tendencies.
- In the ILG limit  $u \to 0$ , the entropy is dominated by the distribution of occupied (vacant) cells below (above) half filling.
- Attractive coupling between occupied cells favors clusters below half filling and (effectively) clusters of vacant cells above half filling.
- Coupled clusters act like compound particles or compound vacancies. Their number decreases as the (attractive) coupling strength increases. The highest entropy is realized at half filling.
- A repulsive interaction between occupied cells suppresses clustering of particles below half filling and (effectively) vacancies above half filling.
- For low densities of particles or vacancies, the effect of a repulsive interaction is very small. Randomly placed particles or vacancies at low density produce few nearest neighbors.
- The highest impact of a repulsive interaction is realized at half filling. Here the only microstates which avoid clustering of particles are ordered states where occupied and vacant cells alternate.
- The particle-hole symmetry of occupied and vacant cells is differently manifest for attractive and repulsive interaction.
- An additional symmetry makes the dependence of  $S/Nk_B$  on  $u/k_BT$ independent of the sign of  $u$  at half filling.

The entropy per cell as a function scaled temperature  $k_BT/|u|$  is then the same monotonic function for attractive interaction  $(u > 0)$  and repulsive interaction  $(u > 0)$  [tex201]. The entropy of the ideal lattice gas  $(u = 0)$  is independent of temperature.



# Ising lattice gas internal energy in  $\mathcal{D} = 1$ :

A parametric expression for the internal energy can be constructed via Euler's equation,

$$
U = TS - pV + \mu N_{\rm p} \quad \Rightarrow \frac{U}{Nk_B T} = \frac{S}{Nk_B} - \frac{pV}{Nk_B T} + \left(2w - \frac{u}{k_B T}\right) \frac{N_{\rm p}}{N},
$$

from the expressions established earlier:

$$
\frac{U}{Nk_BT} = -\frac{\beta u}{2} \frac{[\sinh w + \cosh w][\sinh w + \sqrt{\sinh^2 w + e^{-\beta u}}]}{\sqrt{\sinh^2 w + e^{-\beta u}}[\cosh w + \sqrt{\sinh^2 w + e^{-\beta u}}]}
$$

$$
\frac{N_p}{N} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\sinh w}{\sqrt{\sinh^2 w + e^{-\beta u}}} \right],
$$

,



- An attractive coupling produces a negative internal energy of significant magnitude even for relatively small densities due to clustering.
- A repulsive interaction makes configurations with occupied nearestneighbor sites energetically unfavorable.
- If less then half the lattice sites are occupied, nearest-neighbor repulsion is readily avoided by strong repusive coupling.